

YW



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
 United States Patent and Trademark Office
 Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
 P.O. Box 1450
 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/956,994	09/21/2001	Mutsumi Kimura	110423	2948
25944	7590	01/25/2005	EXAMINER	
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC P.O. BOX 19928 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320			LEWIS, DAVID LEE	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2673	
DATE MAILED: 01/25/2005				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/956,994

Applicant(s)

KIMURA, MUTSUMI

Examiner

David L Lewis

Art Unit

2673

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 December 2004.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

1. **Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamazaki et al. (5349366) in view of Yamazaki (6545656).**
2. **As in claim 1, 9, 10, 12, 15, and 16, Yamazaki et al. teaches of a driving method for an electro-optical device which includes, corresponding to an intersection of a scanning line, **figure 1A item Vg**, and a data line, **figure 1A item Vd**, an electro optical element, **figure 1A item LC**, a driving transistor that drives the electro-optical element, **figure 1A item Tr2**, and a switching transistor that controls the driving transistor, **figure 1A item Tr1**, the driving method comprising: a setting step of supplying a first on-signal to switching transistor via the scanning line, **figure 1B item Vg (t0)**, and of supplying a set signal to select a conducting state or non-conducting state of the driving transistor to the driving transistor via the data line and the switching transistor in accordance with a period for which the first on-signal is supplied, **figure 1B item Vd (t0)**; and a**

resetting step of supplying a second on-signal to the switching transistor via the scanning line, **figure 1B item Vg (t2)**, and of supplying a reset signal to select the non-conducting state of the driving transistor to the driving transistor via the data line and the switching transistor in accordance with a period for which the second on-signal is supplied, **figure 1B item Vd (t2)**.

3. **As amended, as in claims 1, 10, and 11, Yamazaki et al. fails to explicitly teach** of a period of supplying the reset signal via the data line within a vertical scanning period being substantially constant. **Yamazaki (656) teaches of a** liquid crystal display having a plurality of pixel TFT's which are matrix disposed wherein reset is performed during a subframe of the frame period, column 4 lines 8-60. Further, Yamazaki (656) teaches of a period of supplying the reset signal via the data line within a vertical scanning period (frame) being substantially constant, figure 3 items 2nd Tsf and 4th Tsf. Said subframe feature of Yamazaki (656) is applicable to the display method of Yamazaki (366) given both teach methods of solving the problem of resetting a display. The drive method of Yamazaki as shown in figure 1A and 1B can be applied to the sumframe equivalent as taught by Yamazaki (656). Said known modification would provide for a period of supplying the reset signal via the data line with a vertical scanning period being substantially constant in view of Yamazaki's (366) figures 5-6, wherein a plurality of resets are performed within one frame period, as found in claims 1, 10, and 11. **As amended, as in claim 12 Yamazaki (366) fails to**

explicitly teach of the number of the signal to perform the setting step and the signal to perform the resetting step being substantially the same. Said feature would have been obvious to the skilled artisan in view of Yamazaki (656) as argued above given figure 3, wherein Yamazaki (656) teaches of the number of the signal to perform the setting step and the signal to perform the resetting step being substantially the same within a frame period. **As amended, as in claims 15 and 16**, Yamazaki (656) teaches of a plurality of the pairs of the setting step and the resetting step being performed within one frame period, figure 3 items 1st to 4th Tsf, wherein the number of subframes would be an obvious design choice to the skilled artisan.

4. **As in claims 2 and 3**, Yamazaki et al. teaches of, further including a horizontal scanning period that includes a first sub horizontal scanning period to perform the setting step, **figure 1B item Vd (t0-t0.5)**, and a second sub horizontal scanning period to perform the resetting step, **figure 1B item Vd (t0.5-t1)**. **As in claim 7**, Yamazaki et al. teaches of, further including providing the set signal to be a signal for setting the conducting state for the driving transistor rather than the signal for selecting the conducting state or the non-conducting state of the driving transistor, **figure 1B item Vg (t1-t2)**. **As in claim 8, 13, and 14**, Yamazaki et al. teaches of, further including driving the electro-optical element including an organic electroluminescence element, figure 1A item LC. **As in claim 4, 5, and 6**, Yamazaki et al. teaches of wherein, further including obtaining

a gray-scale by performing a plurality of set-reset operations, each set-reset operation including the setting step and the resetting step, column 16 lines 35-67, figure 6A, wherein said gradation is performed according to said method of claim 1.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-16 filed on 12/27/2004 have been considered but are moot in view of the new non-final ground(s) of rejection. See the new rejection over Yamazaki et al in view of Yamazaki.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David L. Lewis whose telephone number is (703) 306-3026. The examiner can normally be reached on MT and TIFR from 8 to 5. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bipin Shalwala, can be reached on (703) 305-4938. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:
Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Application/Control Number: 09/956,994

Page 6

Art Unit: 2673

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to

Crystal Park 11, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

January 23, 2005



**BIPIN SHALWALA
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600**